SgrA*: super massive black hole or naked singularity?

Ruchi Mishra PhD student

Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center, Warsaw



Compact source Sgr A *

- Our galactic center has a compact source Sgr A * of mass $M \approx 4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ with variable X-ray, radio and infrared emission.
- We infer more information about the source through different observations.
- 1. Monitoring the motion of the stars orbiting the galactic center one can reconstruct the gravitational potential far from the source.
- Black hole shadow or silhouette has been resolved by Event Horizon Telescope (EHT) image for Sgr A*.



Motion of Stans around Sgr A*

Do the observations confirm the presence of a Kerr black hole?

- The diameter of EHT image of Sgr A* is 51.8μ as, the distance of the source is $\approx 8 \text{kpc}$ (from stellar) orbits), the apparent size translates to $5.42 \text{ M} (G/c^2)$
- Many studies (Lara et al. 2021, Bauer et al. 2021, Wielgus 2021) addressed the question whether we can reconstruct the space-time metric from EHT images.
- Objects alternative to Kerr black hole could produce images similar to EHT (Vincent et al. 2021)
- Sgr A * could be a naked singularity : can not be ruled out from metric tests (Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. 2022)



EHT Collaboration



Reissner-Nordström metric

• In GR the gravitational field of a electrically charged, non-rotating spherically symmetric body is described by Reissner-Nordström (RN) metric.

$$ds^{2} = -e^{2\Phi}dt^{2} + e^{2\Lambda}dr^{2} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\varphi^{2})$$

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{2} \log \left[1 \right]$$

space-time that are not dressed by an event horizon.

$$-\frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} \qquad \qquad \Lambda = -\Phi$$

$$\Phi - \text{gravitational Potential}$$

• For Q > M we have a naked singularity. Naked singularities are hypothetical objects in



Zero gravity sphere

- The 4-acceleration of a static observer is given by, $a_r = \frac{d\Phi}{dr} \equiv \Phi'(r)$
- There exists a r_0 at which $\Phi'(r_0) = 0$, r_0 is "zero-gravity radius" given by

$$\frac{r_0}{M} = \left(\frac{Q}{M}\right)^2$$

- Outside of it gravity is attractive and inside gravity is repulsive.
- At zero gravity radius a test particle remains at rest for an observer at infinity.



Zero gravity sphere

- Circular geodesic motion can not occur inside the radius r_0 (Pugliese et al. 2021)
- No quasi stable structure can exist fully inside of the zero gravity sphere. So when we see any structure, a part of it must lie outside of the zero gravity sphere.



Constraints on Q/M

- Using this property we can constrain Q/M for Sgr A* based on different observations.
- We exclude a huge parameter space imposing constraints on the charge.



Constraints on Q/M from EHT observation



 $r_0/M = (Q/M)^2 < \frac{R_{\rm EHT}}{M} = 5.42$

Apparent size of the zero gravity sphere







- We consider the compact source Sgr A* at the galactic centre to be a naked singularity in RN space-time.
- The general property of RN metric imply that, there exists a zero-gravity radius, where a test particle remains at rest.
- There are no orbits inside of the zero-gravity radius .
- A part of any quasi stable structure must necessarily lie outside of the zero-gravity radius.
- EHT observations place stringent limits on charge : if Sgr A* were a naked singularity, its electric charge must be Q < 2.3M.

Summary

Summary

- We consider the compact source Sgr A* at the galactic centre to be a naked singularity in RN space-time.
- The general property of RN metric imply that, there exists a zero-gravity radius, where a test particle remains at rest.
- There are no orbits inside of the zero-gravity radius .
- A part of any quasi stable structure must necessarily lie outside of the zero-gravity radius.
- EHT observations place stringent limits on charge : if Sgr A* were a naked singularity, its electric charge must be Q < 2.3M.
 - Thank you